Appendix B: Alternative Options for meeting the Medium Term Financial Deficit

Local Government is facing a number of challenges to the funding streams that are available to provide key services. The survival of Local Government will depend on a combination of its ability to maximise the efficiency of the services provided and/or commissioned and on maximising the income that can be generated from the various assets and opportunities at the disposal of the council.

The fundamental purpose of councils' remains regardless of what collaborative arrangements and delivery options are used: sovereign bodies who act to set the long term strategy for the district, deliver and commission services that meet local needs, support local business and the quality of life for local residents.

The analysis below expands upon the alternatives options considered in the business case.

- Status quo i.e. in-house efficiencies and budget reductions, some shared services: this approach would require each individual Councils to deliver services within the budgets that each receive whilst pursuing service business cases for joint working.
- **Summary of analysis:** unlikely to make a significant contribution to the deficit identified in the Medium Term Financial Strategy (MTFS) without significant service reduction and reduction in staff numbers.

Strengths	Weaknesses	Opportunities	Threats
Can be delivered within existing governance arrangements	As staff numbers reduce resilience becomes an issue	Reducing accommodation requirements increase the potential to sub-let office space	Breakdown of services due to reduced resources.
Simplifies service reviews	Does not take advantage of economies of scale		Lack of strategic capacity reduces the ability of the councils to influence
Savings can be quickly delivered	The resource reduction required limits capacity for innovation, improvement and variation of services		Future spending review announcements may develop into a continuing cycle of reduction.
			Fails to meet TCA funding requirements Contributes to a
			culture of malaise and decline

- Shared Services with other partners: this approach would see shared services being developed within and outside of the current partnership.
- **Summary of analysis:** offers potential for future savings but relying on attracting additional partners on a business case by business case basis may not deliver a significant contribution to the MTFS.

Strengths	Weaknesses	Opportunities	Threats
Some geographic	Lack of willingness of	Could offer more	May not deliver cost
services may be	neighbours to share	efficient service	savings required
more suited to	services	provision than	
sharing with		current arrangements	
neighbouring			
authorities			
Partnerships within	Limited scalability	Improved resilience	Inertia
County may be more			
identifiable to			
residents			
	Governance can be	Partnering with	Resource overhead
	difficult and	county councils may	for senior
	difficulties increase	pre-empt cross tier	managers/members
	as the number of	policy changes	to "court" prospective
	partners expand		partners
	It can be difficult to	"Best fit" approach of	Extends delivery time
	drive savings from	partner and service	scales
	some geographic		
	based services		

- Shared Services CDC/SDC/SNC: under this approach shared services would be implemented across the current partnership without implementing the full confederation model.
- Summary of analysis: savings could be delivered but not to the extent of a wider confederation approach. Flexibility is limited and income generation less deliverable.

Strengths	Weaknesses	Opportunities	Threats
Does not involve the complications involved in establishment of company structure	Limited scalability	Could offer more efficient service provision than current arrangements	May not deliver cost savings required
Reduced risk of company failure	Governance can be difficult and difficulties increase as the number of partners expand	Improved resilience	Remains "local government" with limited potential for trading
Established	It can be difficult to	Economies of scale	
approach	drive savings from		

	some geographic based services		
Builds on the shared service work undertaken to date.	Fails to instil a commercial ethos limiting the scale of cultural change	Management cost savings	
Staff transfers are simplified via secondments			

- Support budgets with asset / investment funding: this approach would proactively seek income opportunities through investment, asset development and trading activity to underpin the financial position of the Council(s).
- Summary of analysis: relies on a growth strategy that may not meet the objectives of the Councils or communities but could and should be considered alongside the confederation proposals.

Strengths	Weaknesses	Opportunities	Threats
Strong investment	Asset based income	Preferential Local	Failure of
income can support	is generally	Government	investments
key services	proportionate to risk	borrowing rates can	
		be used	
Investments can be	Investment income is	Some assets could	Project overspends
used to support	not guaranteed	generate more	
social value		income	
Proactively	Opportunities limited	Can help with place	Assets do not deliver
maximises return on	by most risk adverse	shaping and	required income
existing council	partner	regeneration which	levels
assets		can deliver further	
		income	
	Requires a pro-	Combined asset	Income levels do not
	growth strategy	optimisation can fund	exceed borrowing
		further investment	rates

- **Individual council companies:** this approach would involve the Councils looking to generate income from trading services on an individual basis.
- **Summary of analysis:** potential for savings but also for greater complexity and potentially fewer opportunities for Member oversight.

Strengths	Weaknesses	Opportunities	Threats
Profits from trading	Partner councils	Company could	Competition for
services can be used	could end up	provide useful	private sector and
to support key	competing against	services to	other Councils
services	each other for work	community and	challenging profit
		generate income	margins
Company strategy	Not all services will	Can be branded as	Failure of companies
set by a single	be suitable for	"local" where	that are established

council	trading	appropriate	
Current teams used as basis for traded service	Need to identify customers for the traded services		Taxation
	Duplicates commercial expertise at each Council		

- Top down local government re-organisation: under this approach delivery of county and district council services would be combined into a single delivery body. These are generally based within County boundaries. A variation on this approach could be a locally driven re-organisation where local partners agree and drive a new local government structure.
- **Summary of analysis:** not currently on the agenda nationally and devolution and city deals are higher profile in terms of national focus on local government delivery structures.

Both national and local approaches would be unlikely to cut across county boundaries which would necessitate unpicking current sharing arrangements. Delivery timescales would not ensure a significant contribution is made to meet the MTFS pressure.

Strengths	Weaknesses	Opportunities	Threats
Single point of	District Council	Potential Cost	Pressures imposed
contact for residents	services get	Savings	by Children's
	subsumed within		services and adult
	larger organisation		social care
Councils remain	Loss of recognition of	Efficiencies through	May necessitate
identifiable to	local issues	joining up related	undoing shared
residents		services	services work to date
	County Councils are	New organisation	Increases timeline for
	generally less	provides a "big Bang"	delivery of savings
	efficient at delivering	opportunity to	
	services	change	
		Enables genuinely	
		integrated services,	
		policies etc.	

- Outsourcing Services to Private Sector: this approach would transfer the
 delivery of public services to a private sector organisation through contracts or a
 form of partnership.
- Summary of analysis: private sector companies will make profit through efficiencies with a proportion of the savings fed back to the councils. Local jobs may be moved out of the districts and there is potentially less Member control. The track record of whole scale service outsourcing (e.g. large public private partnerships and some joint ventures e.g. South West One) is patchy. Service by service outsourcing has a better track record but will still require client sides in each of the services contracted out.

Strengths	Weaknesses	Opportunities	Threats
Established method of delivering services	When contracts are signed there is often limited opportunity to reduce service without cost	Taking advantage of private sector expertise	Contract costs tends to rise year on year due to inflation clauses
Relatively low implementation costs	Loss of flexibility in service delivery	Reduced staff costs	If large part of revenue budget is committed to contracts further cuts may not be able to be met
	Everything gets charged for		Loss of employment within districts
	TUPE of staff requires time		Loss of democratic accountability
	Complexity of governance if three way contracts are let		Success is reliant on the quality of commissioning and contract management skills

- Combined Authority: the exploration of a combined authority for the area to focus on system wide efficiencies and issues such as economic growth.
- Summary of analysis: combined authorities will require co-operation at all tiers
 across the counties to agree an approach and negotiate with central government.
 As these discussions are not underway the development and implementation of
 any combined authority proposals will not meet the timescales required to make a
 significant contribution to the medium term financial gaps for any of the three
 councils.

Strengths	Weaknesses	Opportunities	Threats
Simplifies cross region delivery	Limited to specific areas of operation	Potential devolved powers for the sub-region from central government	Requires approval by all local government organisations within the area
In-line with national policy	Does not address wider service transformation	Opportunity to pool resources on a key issues (e.g. economic development) for the benefit of a wider sub-region	Requires a statutory instrument form the Secretary of State to set one up
	The Localism Act 2011 does not allow combined authorities to provide statutory services on a commercial basis	May provide opportunities for alternative governance	Unlikely to cover the same area as he CDC/SDC/SNC partnership and may require unpicking of joint arrangements
	Lengthy negotiation process which has not commenced		